Quarterly Informal Information Exchange Meeting

Ms. Jill Burnett

Why Introduce Individual Loans?

Client Demand

 Retain customers whose needs are evolving beyond the capacity of group loan methodology

Competition

- capture new client markets
- Improve services and compete more effectively through individualised products

Financial Incentives and Sustainability Objectives

 Potential to generate higher profits through larger loans

Uganda: MFIs Also Motivated by **DELIVERY Issues**

- Breakdown in the Group Loan (GL) methodology
 - Groups not functional: cross guarantee not working
 - Loan staff chasing individual members
 - MFI staff regard GL product onerous and ineffective
- Fraud is significant
 - Fictitious groups and group leaders diverting members' funds
 - Staff members implicated

First Preliminary Finding

- Individual Lending (IL) is launched as a problem solving measure.
 - individual credit relationships to enable tighter controls through better client analysis/information
- In Uganda, IL is introduced in the context of both external (market) pressures
 - client demand/ competition
- o and internal (institutional) pressures
 - a strategy for strengthening portfolio performance

Second Preliminary Finding

 As in other parts of the world, Ugandan MFIs underestimated the complexities of delivering an individual loan product

Product delivery level

- What does it take to undertake solid credit analysis?
- No longer rely on group screening, monitoring and enforcement of loans.
- New type of business altogether.

Operations level

- Market research + piloting for effective product design and delivery
- Product policies and procedures for monitoring product costs and risks
- Staff incentives and accountability with realistic performance benchmarks
- Strategic alignment and marketing of products

Institutional level

- Adequate MIS, internal controls, management structure
- Appropriate institutional delivery model, staffing, systems
- Market-oriented organisational culture with strict compliance to regulations
- Leadership a product champion

Human Resources level

- IL staff/managers make or break product implementation: thorough screening and comprehensive training to ensure capacity exists
- Strategy for on-going training needs: integrating new staff and continuous strengthening of others
- One staff for all loan products? Ensure skills, competencies and rewards are appropriate per loan product

Summary

Like many MFIs internationally, Ugandan MFIs launched IL without adequate preparations

- Initial IL product developed through minor modifications to the existing GL methodology
- Challenges of risk management were underestimated or overlooked
- No market analysis to underpin product design and inform product alignment in business planning

Result: MFIs learned to swim in the deep end

- Poor product design and staff capacity has led to reliance on collateral-based lending rather than proper credit assessment
- Shortcoming in IL risk management and loan enforcement undermined portfolio quality
- Faced combined challenge of reforming IL product, policies and processes while building institutional capacity and implementing new systems
- MFIs have had to fill in the gaps along the way (and are still...). MIS is a crucial example

Result: Forgotten GL and its clients

- Focus on IL as core product (esp. with transformation)
 has left GL sidelined and in dramatic decline
- Despite expectations of graduating GL clients to IL, only a small percentage are able to meet IL eligibility criteria (credit assessment and collateral requirements)
- Shift focus to new, more profitable target markets: net loss in lower income clients served
- Is there a continued role for GL in the business models of Ugandan MDIs?

Conclusion

 In the midst of transformation, consolidating the IL product has been compromised... And yet, the quality of credit operations has never been more important as MDIs intermediate their clients deposits.